

1 Anthony I. Paronich, *to seek pro hac vice admission*  
2 Paronich Law, P.C.  
3 350 Lincoln Street, Suite 2400  
4 Hingham, MA 02043

5 *Attorney for Plaintiff, others listed below.*

6 **IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR**  
7 **THE DISTRICT OF ARIZONA**

8  
9 Tiffany Lewis, individually and on behalf of  
10 a class of all persons and entities similarly  
11 situated,

12 Plaintiff,

13 vs.

14 Gen Digital, Inc.

15 Defendant.  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28

Case No.

**CLASS ACTION COMPLAINT**

**Nature of this Action**

1  
2 1. Tiffany Lewis (“Plaintiff”) brings this class action against Gen Digital, Inc.  
3 (“Defendant”) under the Telephone Consumer Protection Act (“TCPA”), 47 U.S.C. § 227.  
4

5 2. Upon information and good faith belief, Defendant routinely violates 47  
6 U.S.C. § 227(b)(1)(A)(iii) by using an artificial or prerecorded voice in connection with  
7 non-emergency calls it places to telephone numbers assigned to a cellular telephone  
8 service, without prior express consent.  
9

10 3. More specifically, upon information and good faith belief, Defendant  
11 routinely uses an artificial or prerecorded voice in connection with non-emergency calls it  
12 places to wrong or reassigned cellular telephone numbers.  
13  
14

**Jurisdiction and Venue**

15  
16 4. This Court has subject matter jurisdiction under 47 U.S.C. § 227(b)(3) and  
17 28 U.S.C. § 1331.  
18

19 5. Venue is proper before this Court pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1391(b) as a  
20 substantial portion of the events giving rise to this action occurred in this district.  
21

22 6. Defendant directed artificial or prerecorded voice messages to Plaintiff’s  
23 cellular telephone from this district.  
24

**Parties**

25  
26 7. Plaintiff is a natural person.

27 8. Plaintiff is, and at all relevant times was, a “person” as defined by 47 U.S.C.  
28 § 153(39).

1           9. Defendant is a corporation with its principal place of business in this District.

2           10. Defendant is, and at all relevant times was, a “person” as defined by 47  
3 U.S.C. § 153(39).  
4

5           11. As part of its business, Defendant places outbound calls in an attempt to  
6 collect monies it alleges to be owed.  
7

8                                   **Factual Allegations**

9           12. Plaintiff is, and has been for approximately a year, the subscriber to and  
10 customary user of her cellular telephone number—(321) XXX-9800.

11           13. Defendant began placing calls to telephone number (321) XXX-9800 in  
12 January 2025, or earlier.  
13

14           14. Defendant placed calls to telephone number (321) XXX-9800 intending to  
15 reach someone other than Plaintiff.  
16

17           15. Defendant placed at least two calls to telephone number (321) XXX-9800 in  
18 January 2025.

19           16. Plaintiff received at least two calls from Defendant to telephone number  
20 (321) XXX-9800 in January 2025.  
21

22           17. Defendant used an artificial or prerecorded voice in connection with the calls  
23 it placed to telephone number (321) XXX-9800.  
24

25           18. For example, on or about January 17, 2025, Defendant placed a call to  
26 telephone number (321) XXX-9800 and delivered a prerecorded voice message that stated:  
27  
28

1 Hello, Raymond. This is a call from Norton to let you know that we've been  
2 unable to process your recent payment. The reason could be as simple as an  
3 expired payment card on file...press any key to be transferred to a live  
agent...

4 19. On or about January 31, 2025, Defendant placed a call to telephone number  
5 (321) XXX-9800 and delivered a prerecorded message that stated:  
6

7 Raymond, this is your last reminder call from Norton. According to our  
8 billing records, your Norton360 with Lifelock Ultimate Plus is about to be  
9 cancelled if you don't act quickly...press any key to be transferred to a live  
agent...

10 20. Defendant's voice messages were generic and of a similar substance.

11 21. Given the generic nature of the messages, the content of the messages, and  
12 that both messages were identical in tone, voice, content, and style, the messages Defendant  
13 delivered to telephone number (321) XXX-9800 were prerecorded in nature.  
14

15 22. The pattern and tone of the speech made clear to Plaintiff that the messages  
16 Defendant played were prerecorded in nature.  
17

18 23. Plaintiff does not have, nor did she have, an account with Defendant.

19 24. Plaintiff does not, nor did, owe any money to Defendant.

20 25. Plaintiff did not provide telephone number (321) XXX-9800 to Defendant.  
21

22 26. Plaintiff did not provide Defendant with consent to place calls, in connection  
23 with which it used an artificial or prerecorded voice, to telephone number (321) XXX-  
24 9800.  
25

26 27. Defendant placed the subject calls to telephone number (321) XXX-9800  
27 voluntarily.  
28

1       28. Defendant placed the subject calls to telephone number (321) XXX-9800  
2 under its own free will.

3       29. Defendant had knowledge that it was using an artificial or prerecorded voice  
4 in connection with the subject calls it placed to telephone number (321) XXX-9800.  
5

6       30. Plaintiff listened to the voice messages Defendant delivered to his cellular  
7 telephone.  
8

9       31. Plaintiff suffered actual harm as a result Defendant's subject calls, in  
10 connection with which it used an artificial or prerecorded voice, in that he suffered an  
11 invasion of privacy, an intrusion into his life, and a private nuisance.  
12

13       32. Upon information and good faith belief, Defendant, as a matter of pattern and  
14 practice, uses an artificial or prerecorded voice in connection with calls it places to  
15 telephone numbers assigned to a cellular telephone service, absent prior express consent.  
16

17                   **Class Action Allegations**

18       33. Plaintiff brings this action under Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 23, and as  
19 a representative of the following class:  
20

21       All persons throughout the United States (1) to Gen Digital, Inc. placed, or  
22 caused to be placed, a call, (2) directed to a number assigned to a cellular  
23 telephone service, but not assigned to a person with an account in collections  
24 with Gen Digital, Inc., (3) in connection with which Gen Digital, Inc. used  
25 an artificial or prerecorded voice, (4) from four years prior to the filing of  
26 this complaint through the date of class certification.

27       34. Excluded from the class are Defendant, Defendant's officers and directors,  
28 members of their immediate families and their legal representatives, heirs, successors, or  
assigns, and any entity in which Defendant has or had a controlling interest.

1           35. Upon information and belief, the members of the class are so numerous that  
2 joinder of all of them is impracticable.

3           36. The exact number of the members of the class is unknown to Plaintiff at this  
4 time, and can be determined only through appropriate discovery.

5           37. The members of the class are ascertainable because they are defined by  
6 reference to objective criteria.

7           38. In addition, the members of the class are identifiable in that, upon  
8 information and belief, their telephone numbers, names, and addresses can be identified in  
9 business records maintained by Defendant and by third parties.

10           39. Plaintiff's claims are typical of the claims of the members of the class.

11           40. As it did for all members of the class, Defendant placed calls to Plaintiff's  
12 cellular telephone number in connection with which it used an artificial or prerecorded  
13 voice.

14           41. In addition, like all members of the class, Plaintiff did not have an account  
15 in collections with Defendant.

16           42. Plaintiff's claims, and the claims of the members of the class, originate from  
17 the same conduct, practice, and procedure on the part of Defendant.

18           43. Plaintiff's claims are based on the same theories as the claims of the members  
19 of the class.

20           44. Plaintiff suffered the same injuries as the members of the class.

21           45. Plaintiff will fairly and adequately protect the interests of the members of the  
22 class.

1 46. Plaintiff's interests in this matter are not directly or irrevocably antagonistic  
2 to the interests of the members of the class.

3 47. Plaintiff will vigorously pursue the claims of the members of the class.  
4

5 48. Plaintiff has retained counsel experienced and competent in class action  
6 litigation.

7 49. Plaintiff's counsel will vigorously pursue this matter.  
8

9 50. Plaintiff's counsel will assert, protect, and otherwise represent the members  
10 of the class.

11 51. The questions of law and fact common to the members of the class  
12 predominate over questions that may affect individual members of the class.  
13

14 52. Issues of law and fact common to all members of the class include:

- 15 a. Defendant's violations of the TCPA;  
16  
17 b. Defendant's conduct, pattern, and practice as it pertains to dialing wrong or  
18 reassigned cellular telephone numbers;  
19  
20 c. Defendant's conduct, pattern, and practice as it pertains to placing calls with  
21 an artificial or prerecorded voice to wrong or reassigned cellular telephone  
22 numbers;  
23  
24 d. Defendant's use of an artificial or prerecorded voice; and  
25  
26 e. The availability of statutory penalties.

27 53. A class action is superior to all other available methods for the fair and  
28 efficient adjudication of this matter.

1           54. If brought and prosecuted individually, the claims of the members of the class  
2 would require proof of the same material and substantive facts.

3           55. The pursuit of separate actions by individual members of the class would, as  
4 a practical matter, be dispositive of the interests of other members of the class, and could  
5 substantially impair or impede their ability to protect their interests.

6           56. The pursuit of separate actions by individual members of the class could  
7 create a risk of inconsistent or varying adjudications, which might establish incompatible  
8 standards of conduct for Defendant.

9           57. These varying adjudications and incompatible standards of conduct, in  
10 connection with presentation of the same essential facts, proof, and legal theories, could  
11 also create and allow the existence of inconsistent and incompatible rights within the class.

12           58. The damages suffered by individual members of the class may be relatively  
13 small, thus, the expense and burden to litigate each of their claims individually make it  
14 difficult for the members of the class to redress the wrongs done to them.

15           59. The pursuit of Plaintiff's claims, and the claims of the members of the class,  
16 in one forum will achieve efficiency and promote judicial economy.

17           60. There will be little difficulty in the management of this action as a class  
18 action.

19           61. Defendant has acted or refused to act on grounds generally applicable to the  
20 members of the class, making final declaratory or injunctive relief appropriate.

21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28



**Count I**  
**Violation of 47 U.S.C. § 227(b)(1)(A)(iii)**

62. Plaintiff repeats and re-alleges each and every factual allegation contained in paragraphs 1-61.

63. Defendant violated 47 U.S.C. § 227(b)(1)(A)(iii) by using an artificial or prerecorded voice in connection with calls it placed to Plaintiff's cellular telephone number and the cellular telephone numbers of the members of the class, without consent.

64. As a result of Defendant's violations of 47 U.S.C. § 227(b)(1)(A)(iii), Plaintiff and the members of the class are entitled to damages in an amount to be proven at trial.

**Prayer for Relief**

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff prays for relief and judgment, as follows:

- a) Determining that this action is a proper class action;
- b) Designating Plaintiff as a representative of the class under Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 23;
- c) Designating Plaintiff's counsel as counsel for the class under Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 23;
- d) Adjudging and declaring that Defendant violated 47 U.S.C. § 227(b)(1)(A)(iii);
- e) Enjoining Defendant from continuing its violative behavior, including continuing to place calls to Plaintiff's cellular telephone number, and to the

cellular telephone numbers of members of the class, in connection with which it uses an artificial or prerecorded voice;

f) Awarding Plaintiff and the members of the class damages under 47 U.S.C. § 227(b)(3)(B);

g) Awarding Plaintiff and the members of the class treble damages under 47 U.S.C. § 227(b)(3);

h) Awarding Plaintiff and the class reasonable attorneys' fees, costs, and expenses under Rule 23 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure;

i) Awarding Plaintiff and the members of the class any pre-judgment and post-judgment interest as may be allowed under the law; and

j) Awarding such other and further relief as the Court may deem just and proper.

### **Demand for Jury Trial**

Pursuant to Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 38(b), Plaintiff demands a trial by jury of any and all triable issues.

Date: February 25, 2025

/s/ Anthony Paronich  
Anthony I. Paronich  
PARONICH LAW, P.C.  
350 Lincoln Street, Suite 2400  
Hingham, MA 02043  
Tel: (617) 485-0018  
Fax: (508) 318-8100  
anthony@paronichlaw.com

*Counsel for Plaintiff and the proposed class*